

(Attorney File: 00-012)

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of:	)	Group Art: 3725
STEVEN M. KASSUBA	)	Examiner: Mark Rosenbaum
Appln. No. 09/919,277	)	<u>RESPONSE and AMENDMENT</u>
Filed: July 31, 2001	)	
For: "CRUSHING - BREAKING	)	
APPARATUS"	)	

The Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

Sir:

The following is applicant's Response and Amendment responding to the Office Action (O/A) dated (mailed) August 19, 2003, having a shortened statutory period for response set to expire three (3) months from said mailing date, i.e., expiring November 19, 2003.

By separate instrument being simultaneously filed herewith, applicant is filing a Request for a one (1) month extension of time to respond to said O/A, along with attorney check for \$55.00 in payment of the related fee, as to thereby expire December 19, 2003.

Applicant's attorney of record has on three occasions, by telephone, contacted the United States Patent and Trademark Office General Information Services Division to seek further clarification and guidance in meeting the requirements of the Revised Format of Amendments and as presented by the United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO) by its two flyers respectively dated February 13, 2003 and June 30, 2003.

This Response and Amendment is being presented in a format (as understood by said attorney to be meeting the new USPTO requirements) with the following sections each starting on a fresh page:

SPECIFICATION AMENDMENTS

ALL CLAIMS IN THE APPLICATION and

REMARKS .

Appln. No. 09/919,277  
Group Art: 3725

The section headed "Specification Amendments" presents amendments herein being made to the original specification. None of such amendments in any way alter the scope of the disclosure of the invention as originally presented in the application filed July 31, 2001.

The section headed "All Claims in the Application" is a listing of all claims in the application giving the current status of such claims. The text of all pending claims under examination is presented. Further, any currently amended claim is indicated by a strikethrough (for deleted matter) or underlining (for added matter).

The section headed "Remarks" is as the heading suggests.

Further, in the section headed "Specification Amendments" applicant presents the following arrangement which is believed to visually more clearly illustrate the amendments being made. First all in upper case letters, the particular paragraph being amended and its location in the original specification is set forth.

Following that, in both upper and lower case letters, the amendment to that particular paragraph is made in accordance with currently revised USPTO practice and instructions.